Coronary artery disease

Additional material is

published online only. To view,

please visit the journal online

¹Department of Research and

Victoria, Melbourne, Australia ²Department of Epidemiology

Monash University, Melbourne,

³Department of Cardiology,

Alfred Hospital, Melbourne,

⁴Hypertension and Cardiac

IDI Heart and Diabetes

Disease Research Group, Baker

Institute, Melbourne, Australia ⁵Department of Cardiology,

Western Health, Melbourne,

Medical Centre, Melbourne,

Australia, Western Australia,

Ziad Nehme, Department of

Ambulance Victoria, 31 Joseph

ziad.nehme@ambulance.vic.

Received 1 September 2015

Revised 2 December 2015 Accepted 3 December 2015

Published Online First

6 January 2016

Research and Evaluation,

Street, Blackburn North,

VIC 3130. Australia:

Medicine, University of Western

⁶Monash Heart, Monash

⁷Discipline of Emergency

Correspondence to

(http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/

heartinl-2015-308636).

Evaluation, Ambulance

and Preventive Medicine,

Australia

Australia

Australia

Australia

Australia

gov.au

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of supplemental oxygen exposure on myocardial injury in ST-elevation myocardial infarction

Ziad Nehme,^{1,2} Dion Stub,^{2,3,4,5} Stephen Bernard,^{1,2,3} Michael Stephenson,¹ Janet E Bray,^{2,3} Peter Cameron,^{2,3} Ian T Meredith,⁶ Bill Barger,¹ Andris H Ellims,^{3,4} Andrew J Taylor,^{3,4} David M Kaye,^{2,3,4} Karen Smith,^{1,2,7} for the AVOID Investigators

ABSTRACT

Objective Supplemental oxygen therapy may increase myocardial injury following ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). In this study, we aimed to evaluate the effect of the dose and duration of oxygen exposure on myocardial injury after STEMI.

Methods Descriptive analysis of data from a multicentre, prospective, randomised, controlled trial of 441 patients with STEMI randomised to supplemental oxygen therapy or room air breathing. The primary endpoint was myocardial infarct size as assessed by cardiac biomarkers, troponin (cTnI) and creatine kinase (CK). Oxygen therapy was commenced by paramedics, and continued for up to 12 h postintervention in hospital. Supplemental oxygen exposure was calculated as the area under the dose×time curve for oxygen administration over the first 12 h, and then assessed for its association with cTnI/CK release using multivariable linear regression.

Results The median supplemental oxygen exposure was 1746 L (IQR: 960-2858). After adjustment for potential confounders, every 100 L increase in oxygen exposure in the first 12 h was associated with a 1.4% (95% CI 0.6% to 2.2%, p<0.001) and 1.2% (95% CI 0.7% to 1.8%, p<0.001) increase in the mean peak cTnI and CK, respectively. Excluding patients who developed cardiogenic shock, recurrent myocardial infarction or desaturations (SpO₂<94%) during admission, every 100 L increase in oxygen exposure was associated with a 1.2% (95% CI 0.2% to 2.1%, p=0.01) and 1.0% (95% CI 0.3% to 1.7%, p=0.003) increase in the mean peak cTnI and CK, respectively. The median supplemental oxygen exposure of 1746 L would result in a 21% (95% CI 3% to 37%) increase in infarct size according to the cTnl profile.

Conclusions Supplemental oxygen exposure in the first 12 h after STEMI was associated with a clinically significant increase in cTnI and CK release.

CrossMark



Although several studies of supplemental oxygen therapy in patients suffering acute myocardial infarction (AMI) have indicated the presence of deleterious physiological and clinical outcomes,^{1–3} its use remains common in clinical practice.⁴ Recently, in the multicentre, prospective, randomised, Air Versus Oxygen in myocarDial infarction (AVOID) controlled trial, normoxic patients with

myocardial

INTRODUCTION

ST-elevation

randomised to routine supplemental oxygen experienced a 27% increase in creatine kinase (CK) compared with patient's breathing room air.² This finding comes almost four decades after Rawles and Kenmure demonstrated in a double-blind randomised controlled trial that inhaled oxygen therapy increased myocardial injury by 24% in patients with AMI compared with breathing compressed air.¹ Furthermore, supportive data from smaller physiological studies also show that supplemental oxygen may reduce coronary perfusion and heighten oxidative stress.^{3 5–7}

The threshold at which oxygen therapy begins to increase myocardial injury following AMI remains unclear. To date, both the dose and duration of treatment of oxygen therapy have varied considerably across clinical studies. Earlier physiological studies have opted for relatively high concentrations of supplemental oxygen of 10-15 L/min delivered for short periods of time,³ a practice that varies from those adopted in contemporary clinical trials.⁸⁻¹⁰ In the AVOID trial, patients randomised to the oxygen group received oxygen therapy at 8 L/min via facemask in the prehospital setting, while inhospital oxygen use was administered according to local hospital protocols.9 This resulted in heterogeneous oxygen administration that reflects real-world utility of oxygen therapy in the setting of AMI.

It is not known whether the deleterious effects of oxygen therapy in AMI are dependent on the dose and duration of its administration. In this descriptive analysis of data from the AVOID trial, we evaluate the effect of supplemental oxygen exposure on biochemical and cardiac MRI (CMR) measures of myocardial injury in patients with STEMI.

METHODS

Design, setting and participants

The study was a descriptive analysis of data from a multicentre, prospective, randomised, controlled trial. The AVOID trial randomised 638 prehospital suspected patients with STEMI between October 2011 and July 2014, who were transferred to 9 percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)-capable hospitals in Melbourne, Australia. Details of the trial design, protocol and results have been published elsewhere (NCT01272713).² ⁹ The trial and this study were approved by ethics committees at

(STEMI)

infarction



each participating hospital, and delayed written informed consent from the patient or next of kin was sought after stabilisation in hospital.

Patients were randomised according to the following criteria: age ≥ 18 years; chest pain symptoms <12 h prior; prehospital ECG evidence of STEMI, including (1) ST-segment elevation of ≥ 0.1 mV in two contiguous limb leads or (2) ≥ 0.2 mV in two contiguous chest leads, or (3) new left bundle branch block pattern. Exclusion criteria included hypoxaemia on room air (SpO₂<94% measured on pulse oximeter), oxygen administration prior to randomisation, altered conscious state or planned transport to a non-participating hospital.

Randomisation and interventions

Patients were randomly assigned to either the oxygen or room air using opaque envelopes containing computer-generated treatment allocation. In the oxygen group, paramedics administered supplemental oxygen via face mask at 8 L/min until arrival at hospital. Inhospital oxygen administration was according to hospital treatment protocols. In the room air group, patients received no supplemental oxygen either prehospital or inhospital unless their oxygen saturations fell below 94%. Oxygen was then indicated to maintain a target oxygen saturation of 94%. Details of oxygen use were recorded in the case report form at regular intervals, including at randomisation, at hospital arrival, at the catheterisation laboratory and at two-hourly intervals thereafter up to 12 h postprocedural intervention. Individuals involved with the delivery of oxygen therapy prehospital and inhospital were not blinded to treatment assignment, due to the impracticality and potential risk of concealing oxygen treatment.

Study outcomes

Definitions of the end points are detailed elsewhere.² The AVOID trial utilised highly correlated co-primary endpoints of peak troponin I (cTnI) and CK. To obtain a comprehensive picture of the treatment effect, the area under the curve (AUC₇₂) for cTnI and CK concentration in serum for the first 72 h were also measured. Blood sampling was conducted at baseline and then six hourly for the first 24 h and 12 hourly out to 72 h after admission. Secondary endpoints measured at hospital discharge and 6 months included ECG ST-segment resolution; mortality and major adverse cardiac events (includes death, recurrent myocardial infarction, repeat revascularisation and stroke). At 6 months, a contrast-enhanced CMR scan was performed on consenting patients with no contraindications (n=139, 31.5%).

Statistical analysis

A detailed description of the statistical analysis is provided in the online supplementary appendix. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata Statistical Software 11 (StataCorp, 2009, College Station, Texas, USA). The primary analysis was performed on the intention-to-treat population, or 441 patients with confirmed STEMI following emergent coronary angiogram. Supplemental oxygen exposure in litres was calculated using trapezoidal integration for the area under the dose×time curve over the first 12 h. We used spearman's rank correlations to compare the unadjusted relationship between myocardial injury and varying time intervals of supplemental oxygen exposure (see online supplementary appendix table 1). The total oxygen exposure between baseline and 12 h (AUC₁₂) had the strongest correlation with measures of myocardial injury and was adopted for all multivariable analyses.

For the comparison of baseline characteristics, procedural details and clinical outcomes, we stratified the population into

four groups. Patients receiving oxygen were stratified into thirds on the basis of supplemental oxygen exposure (AUC₁₂). The final groups included patients with no supplemental oxygen exposure (n=128), low supplemental oxygen exposure of between 1 and 1160 L (n=105), moderate supplemental oxygen exposure of between 1161 and 2376 L (n=104) and high supplemental oxygen exposure of >2376 L (n=104). Variables that approximated a normal distribution were summarised as mean ±SD, and groups were compared using analysis of variance. Non-normal variables were represented as median and first and third quartiles (Q1, Q3), and groups were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Binomial variables were expressed as proportions and 95% CIs and groups compared using χ^2 tests.

To estimate the total cTnI and CK release in the first 72 h we used trapezoidal integration of AUC72. Missing biomarker assays were replaced with multiple imputation using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo method.^{11 12} The adjusted effect of oxygen exposure on biochemical measures of myocardial injury (peak and AUC72 cTnI/CK) was assessed using linear regression models. The inclusion of variables in the model was based on previous literature,¹³ and included age, gender, diabetes, smoker status, hypertension, culprit artery, Killip class, preintervention and postintervention thrombolysis in myocardial infarction flow, procedural complication and symptom-to-intervention time. The final model was tested for goodness-of-fit, normality of residuals and multicolinearity. A log-transformation of the outcome data significantly improved the normality of residuals. Comparison of the treatment effect was made after backtransformation, representing the percentage change in geometric mean cTnI and CK release (refer to the see online supplementary appendix for additional detail). For ease of interpretation, the effect of oxygen exposure on myocardial injury was presented as increments of 100 L of supplemental oxygen in the first 12 h (equivalent to administering oxygen at 4 L/min for 25 min). Measures of infarct size assessed by CMR at 6 months (infarct mass in grams and infarct size as a proportion of left ventricular mass) were also assessed using the same approach. Simple curves for the predicted geometric mean peak cTnI and CK were constructed for the average patient by backtransforming the regression function.

As postrandomisation data may introduce selection bias in our analyses, we verified our results using a series of sensitivity analyses excluding subgroups, which could confound the treatment effect, including (1) patients randomised to room air; (2) patients who developed cardiogenic shock, recurrent myocardial infarction or a desaturation (SpO2<94%) and (3) patients with any adverse clinical event at discharge, including mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction, stroke or transient ischaemic attack, cardiogenic shock, coronary artery bypass grafting, major bleeding and arrhythmia.

RESULTS

Study population

All 218 patients randomised to the oxygen group received oxygen therapy in the first 12 h. Of the 223 patients randomised to the room air group, 102 (45.7%) patients received oxygen in the first 12 h according to protocol. Figure 1 of the online supplementary appendix shows the distribution of supplemental oxygen exposure (AUC₁₂) for the first 12 h in the overall population and by treatment arms. The median supplemental oxygen exposure was 1746 L (IQR: 960–2858) in the overall population, but was higher in patients randomised to the oxygen group compared with the room air group (2258 L vs



Figure 1 Distribution of biochemical measures (troponin I (cTnI)/creatine kinase (CK)) across oxygen exposure groups. Black lines represent geometric mean peaks. p Values calculated using one-way analysis of variance for the difference in log-transformed means.

960 L, p<0.001). When oxygen was administered, the median oxygen dose was lower in the room air group compared with oxygen group (3 L/min vs 6 L/min, p<0.001).

Baseline and procedural characteristics

With the exception of dyslipidaemia, demographics and medical history did not differ significantly after stratification of patients

into supplemental oxygen exposure groups (table 1). Patients with high oxygen exposure described higher baseline pain scores, but had similar findings with respect to the extent of coronary artery disease and procedural details (table 2). Both symptom-to-intervention time and door-to-intervention did not differ between groups. Length of hospital stay was higher in patients with high oxygen exposure (p < 0.001).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients with confirmed STEMI

	No supplemental oxygen exposure	Low supplemental oxygen exposure	Moderate supplemental oxygen exposure	High supplemental oxygen exposure	
Characteristic	N=128	N=105	N=104	N=104	p Value
Age in years, mean (SD)	61 (12)	63 (13)	63 (12)	64 (12)	0.23
Males, n (%)	103 (80.5)	76 (72.4)	83 (79.8)	86 (82.7)	0.28
History and risk factors, n (%)					
Diabetes mellitus	18 (14.1)	25 (23.8)	21 (20.2)	14 (13.5)	0.13
Hypertension	64 (50.0)	66 (62.9)	59 (56.7)	64 (61.5)	0.18
Dyslipidaemia	59 (46.1)	64 (61.0)	49 (47.1)	67 (64.4)	0.008
Current or ex-smoker	95 (74.8)	74 (70.5)	65 (63.7)	72 (69.2)	0.34
Peripheral vascular disease	4 (3.1)	4 (3.8)	1 (1.0)	6 (5.8)	0.29
Stroke	9 (7.0)	9 (8.6)	3 (2.9)	5 (4.8)	0.31
Ischaemic heart disease	22 (17.2)	23 (21.9)	14 (13.5)	19 (18.3)	0.46
Previous PCI	14 (10.9)	15 (14.3)	8 (7.7)	13 (12.5)	0.49
Previous CABG	2 (1.6)	2 (1.9)	1 (1.0)	2 (1.9)	0.94
Medication only	7 (5.5)	6 (5.7)	3 (2.9)	4 (3.8)	0.71
Creatinine >120 μmol/L	7 (5.5)	9 (8.6)	9 (8.7)	11 (10.6)	0.55
Status on arrival of paramedics					
Heart rate, median (IQR)	76 (64, 84)	72 (61, 84)	76 (60, 88)	70 (60, 83)	0.63
Systolic blood pressure, median (IQR)	140 (120, 159)	130 (105, 150)	130 (110, 150)	130 (101, 150)	0.09
Oxygen saturation, median (IQR)	98 (87, 99)	98 (96, 99)	98 (97, 99)	98 (97, 99)	0.15
Pain score, median (IQR)	7 (5, 8)	6 (5, 8)	7 (5, 9)	8 (5, 9)	0.04

Adverse clinical outcomes

At hospital discharge, recurrent myocardial infarction, cardiogenic shock, major bleeding and arrhythmias were experienced more frequently in patients receiving oxygen, with the highest proportion of events occurring in the high oxygen exposure group (table 3). The median time to recurrent myocardial

Table 2 Trocedular details of patients with committee Stelling	Table 2	Procedural	details of	f patients	with	confirmed	STEMI
---	---------	------------	------------	------------	------	-----------	-------

Characteristic	No supplemental oxygen exposure N=128	Low supplemental oxygen exposure N=105	Moderate supplemental oxygen exposure N=104	High supplemental oxygen exposure N=104	p Value
Status on arrival at the catheterisation laboratory					
Oxygen saturation, median (IQR)	98 (97, 99)	98 (96, 100)	100 (98, 100)	100 (99, 100)	<0.001
Oxygen being administered, n (%)	0	55 (52.4)	85 (81.7)	100 (96.2)	< 0.001
Oxygen flow rate (L/min), median (IQR)	0	6 (4, 8)	8 (6, 8)	8 (6, 8)	<0.001
Preintervention oxygen duration in minutes, median (IQR)*	0	58 (49, 74)	82 (62, 93)	85 (68, 101)	< 0.001
Inotrope use, n (%)	4 (3.1)	5 (4.8)	6 (5.8)	8 (7.7)	0.47
Killip class ≥II, n (%)	13 (10.7)	9 (9.1)	8 (8.2)	20 (19.4)	0.05
Extent of coronary disease, n (%)					
LAD culprit artery	38 (30.2)	37 (35.6)	39 (38.2)	42 (40.8)	0.37
Multivessel disease	76 (59.4)	62 (59.0)	56 (54.4)	67 (64.4)	0.54
LMCA involvement	4 (3.1)	3 (2.9)	5 (4.9)	4 (3.8)	0.87
Procedural details, n (%)					
Preprocedural TIMI flow 0/1	106 (84.8)	92 (92.0)	92 (90.2)	92 (88.5)	0.36
Postprocedural TIMI flow 2/3	122 (96.8)	98 (95.1)	100 (100.0)	99 (96.1)	0.21
Radial intervention	44 (34.6)	34 (32.4)	29 (28.2)	40 (38.5)	0.46
Stent implanted	118 (92.2)	91 (86.7)	98 (94.2)	96 (92.3)	0.24
Drug-eluting stent	65 (50.8)	50 (47.6)	61 (58.7)	50 (48.1)	0.35
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor	45 (35.2)	42 (40.0)	45 (43.3)	55 (52.9)	0.05
Thrombus aspiration	59 (46.1)	44 (41.9)	53 (51.0)	56 (53.8)	0.32
Intra-aortic balloon pump	4 (3.1)	3 (2.9)	4 (3.8)	8 (7.7)	0.27
CABG	2 (1.6)	7 (6.7)	1 (1.0)	4 (3.8)	0.07
Symptom-to-intervention time, median (IQR)	158 (128, 245)	159 (124, 213)	154 (124, 223)	159 (128, 227)	0.80
Door-to-intervention time, median (IQR)	54 (40, 70)	52 (35, 66)	56 (40, 69)	58 (44, 70)	0.32
Length of stay (days), median (IQR)	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)	4 (4, 5)	5 (4, 6)	<0.001

*Duration on oxygen therapy from randomisation to first procedural intervention (eg, aspiration, ballooning) measured in patients who received oxygen therapy. CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; LAD, left anterior descending; LMCA, left main coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.

Coronary artery disease

Table 3 Ad	lverse clinical end	points at hospit	al discharge an	d 6-month follow	-up in pati	ents with con	nfirmed ST-elevation	myocardial infarction
------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------------------

	No supplemental oxygen exposure	Low supplemental oxygen exposure	Moderate supplemental oxygen exposure	High supplemental oxygen exposure	
Characteristic	N=128	N=105	N=104	N=104	p Value
At hospital discharge, n (%)					
All-cause mortality	4 (3.1)	4 (3.8)	3 (2.9)	3 (2.9)	0.98
Cardiac mortality	3 (2.3)	2 (1.9)	3 (2.9)	3 (2.9)	0.96
Recurrent myocardial infarction	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	4 (3.8)	9 (8.7)	0.001
Stroke or transient ischaemic attack	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	2 (1.9)	1 (1.0)	0.50
Cardiogenic shock	6 (4.7)	8 (7.6)	10 (9.6)	16 (15.4)	0.04
Coronary artery bypass grafting	2 (1.6)	7 (6.7)	1 (1.0)	4 (3.8)	0.07
Major bleeding	2 (1.6)	5 (4.8)	0 (0.0)	8 (7.7)	0.01
Arrhythmia	30 (23.4)	37 (35.2)	42 (40.4)	49 (47.1)	0.002
ST-segment resolution >70%*	85 (70.2)	72 (70.6)	72 (71.3)	52 (50.2)	0.003
At 6-month follow-up, n (%)†					
All-cause mortality	6 (4.7)	5 (5.0)	4 (4.0)	6 (5.9)	0.94
Cardiac mortality	5 (3.9)	2 (2.0)	3 (3.0)	5 (4.9)	0.70
Recurrent myocardial infarction	3 (2.4)	3 (3.0)	5 (5.0)	13 (12.7)	0.003
Stroke or transient ischaemic attack	1 (0.8)	3 (3.0)	3 (3.0)	1 (1.0)	0.45
Coronary artery bypass grafting	5 (3.9)	9 (8.9)	4 (4.0)	7 (6.9)	0.34
Repeat revascularisation	12 (9.4)	7 (6.9)	11 (11.0)	9 (8.8)	0.79
Readmission	26 (20.5)	21 (20.8)	26 (26.0)	26 (25.5)	0.66
Major adverse cardiac event‡	20 (15.7)	17 (16.8)	20 (19.8)	23 (22.5)	0.56

t14 of 441 were lost to follow-up.

*Major adverse cardiac event denotes any of the following: all-cause mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction, repeat revascularisation or stroke.

infarction after admission was 2 days (IQR: 1–4). With the exception of recurrent myocardial infarction, adverse outcomes at 6 months were similar across groups.

Relationship between oxygen exposure and myocardial injury

The difference in the unadjusted geometric mean peak/AUC₇₂ for cTnI and CK was statistically significant across oxygen exposure groups (figure 1). After adjustment for potential confounders of myocardial injury, table 4 shows that every 100 L of oxygen exposure was associated with a 1.4% (95% CI 0.6% to 2.2%, p<0.001) and 1.2% (95% CI 0.7% to 1.8%, p<0.001) increase in the geometric mean peak cTnI and CK, respectively. Similar estimates for the increase in AUC₇₂ cTnI and AUC₇₂ CK release were also found. A 1.2% (95% CI 0.1% to 2.3%, p=0.03) increase in the geometric CMR infarct mass and a 0.9% (95% CI 0.01% to 1.9%, p=0.06) increase in the infarct size as a proportion of left ventricular mass was observed in a subgroup of 139 patients undergoing a 6-month CMR.

For the sensitivity analyses, excluding subgroups that could potentially confound the treatment effect resulted in a slight diminution of the treatment effect (table 4). In patients randomised to the oxygen group, the effect of every 100 L of supplemental oxygen exposure in the first 12 h was associated with a 1.5% (95% CI 0.2% to 2.7%, p=0.02) increase in the geometric mean peak cTnI and a 1.1% (95% CI 0.2% to 2.0%, p=0.01) increase in the geometric mean peak CK. Similarly, after excluding patients who developed cardiogenic shock, recurrent myocardial infarction or desaturations (SpO₂<94%) during admission, every 100 L of supplemental oxygen exposure in the first 12 h was associated with a 1.2% (95% CI 0.2% to 2.1%, p=0.01) increase in the geometric mean peak cTnI and a 1.0% (95% CI 0.3% to 1.7%, p=0.003) increase in the geometric mean peak CK. Excluding all patients with any

ings. The predicted increase in geometric mean peak cTnI and CK after holding all other covariates at their mean value is shown in figure 2.

adverse outcome at hospital discharge resulted in similar find-

DISCUSSION

Our findings suggest that incremental exposure to supplemental oxygen in the first 12 h after STEMI is associated with a clinically significant increase in myocardial injury. For instance, a typical patient in our study receiving the median oxygen exposure of 1746 L in the first 12 h (equivalent to receiving 6 L/min for less than 5 h) would experience a 17%-21% increase in myocardial infarct size according to the CK and cTnI profiles. As biochemical measures of myocardial injury are highly correlated with absolute infarct volume,² ¹³ our findings are of clinical importance and utility.

Our measure of oxygen exposure accounts for both the dose and duration of oxygen treatment, and has been used in previous studies to evaluate the effect of oxygen exposure on lung injury.¹⁴ ¹⁵ In our study, the area under the 12 h oxygen dose×time curve was strongly correlated with measures of myocardial injury, which performed better than peri-interventional measures of oxygen exposure, which only account for oxygen supplementation in the early stages of reperfusion. These observations suggest that increases in myocardial injury in relation to oxygen exposure are cumulative over the duration of treatment, and not necessarily related to oxygen administration at reperfusion. This may explain why Rawles and Kenmure also demonstrated an increase in myocardial injury in patients exposed to oxygen therapy for 24 h after AMI without reperfusion.¹

Since the early 1980s, oxygen-derived free radicals have been implicated in the pathogenesis of reperfusion injury, with studies showing that a sudden 'burst' of oxygen free radical production

Unadjusted estimate Adjusted estimate Adjusted estimate Adjusted estimate Adjusted estimate Outcome measure (95% Cl)* p Value (95% Cl)*+ p Value (95% Cl)*+ Logarithm peak cTnl 0.018 (0.011 to 0.026) <0.001 0.014 (0.006 to 0.022) <0.001 0.015 (0.002 th Logarithm AUC ₇₂ cTnl 0.019 (0.011 to 0.026) <0.001 0.013 (0.006 to 0.021) <0.001 0.014 (0.002 th Logarithm AUC ₇₂ CK 0.013 (0.008 to 0.019) <0.001 0.012 (0.007 to 0.018) <0.001 0.011 (0.002 th Logarithm AUC ₇₂ CK 0.013 (0.008 to 0.021) 0.001 0.012 (0.001 to 0.013) <0.001 0.011 (0.002 th Logarithm AUC ₇₂ CK 0.014 (0.004 to 0.022) <0.001 0.012 (0.001 to 0.013) <0.001 0.010 (0.001 to 0.013) Logarithm CMR infarct mass (g)** 0.012 (0.003 to 0.021) 0.001 (0.001 to 0.013) <0.001 (0.001 to 0.013) <0.001 (0.001 to 0.013) Logarithm CMR infarct mass (g)** 0.012 (0.003 to 0.012 (0.001 to 0.012) <0.001 (0.001 to 0.013) <0.001 (0.001 to 0.019) <0.001 (0.000 to 0.003 (0.001 to 0.018) Logarithm CMR infarct mass (g)** <td< th=""><th>Unadjusted and adjustec</th><th>l effect of supplement</th><th>al oxygen</th><th>exposure (per every 10</th><th>00 L increa</th><th>se in the first 12 h) on t</th><th>ochemica</th><th>and CMR measures of</th><th>myocardia</th><th>ll infarct size</th><th></th></td<>	Unadjusted and adjustec	l effect of supplement	al oxygen	exposure (per every 10	00 L increa	se in the first 12 h) on t	ochemica	and CMR measures of	myocardia	ll infarct size	
Logarithm peak cTnl 0.018 (0.011 to 0.026) <0.001	measure	Unadjusted estimate (95% Cl)*	p Value	Adjusted estimate 1 (95% Cl)*†	p Value	Adjusted estimate 2 (95% Cl)†‡	p Value	Adjusted estimate 3 (95% CI)†§	p Value	Adjusted estimate 4 (95% CI)†¶	p Value
Logarithm AUC ₇₂ cTnl 0.019 (0.011 to 0.026) <0.001 0.013 (0.006 to 0.021) <0.001 0.014 (0.002 tr) Logarithm peak CK 0.016 (0.010 to 0.022) <0.001	ı peak cTnl	0.018 (0.011 to 0.026)	<0.001	0.014 (0.006 to 0.022)	<0.001	0.015 (0.002 to 0.027)	0.02	0.012 (0.002 to 0.021)	0.01	0.011 (0.001 to 0.023)	0.04
Logarithm peak CK 0.016 0.010 to 0.022 -0.001 0.012 -0.001 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 -0.011 -0.001 <td>1 AUC₇₂ cTnl</td> <td>0.019 (0.011 to 0.026)</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>0.013 (0.006 to 0.021)</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>0.014 (0.002 to 0.026)</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.012 (0.004 to 0.021)</td> <td>0.006</td> <td>0.012 (0.002 to 0.023)</td> <td>0.02</td>	1 AUC ₇₂ cTnl	0.019 (0.011 to 0.026)	<0.001	0.013 (0.006 to 0.021)	<0.001	0.014 (0.002 to 0.026)	0.02	0.012 (0.004 to 0.021)	0.006	0.012 (0.002 to 0.023)	0.02
Logarithm AUC ₇₂ CK0.013 (0.008 to 0.019)<0.0010.010 (0.005 to 0.015)<0.001<0.001 toLogarithm CMR infarct mass (g)**0.014 (0.004 to 0.024)0.0050.012 (0.001 to 0.023)0.030.010 (-0.006Logarithm CMR infarct percent (%)**0.012 (0.003 to 0.021)0.0070.009 (0.001 to 0.019)0.060.005 (-0.010*Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (m=441).*Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (m=441).*Primary analysis excludes all patients with available data (m=441).*For the following covariates: age, gender, diabetes, smoker status, hypertension, culprit artery, Killip class, preintervention and system to and systex conducted in patients randomised to the oxygen treatment arm (n=218).Sensitivity analysis excludes patients with any advese clinical event at discharge, including mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction, strok	1 peak CK	0.016 (0.010 to 0.022)	<0.001	0.012 (0.007 to 0.018)	<0.001	0.011 (0.002 to 0.020)	0.01	0.010 (0.003 to 0.017)	0.003	0.010 (0.002 to 0.018)	0.01
Logarithm CMR infarct mass (g)** 0.014 (0.004 to 0.024) 0.005 0.012 (0.001 to 0.023) 0.03 0.010 (-0.006 Logarithm CMR infarct percent (%)** 0.012 (0.003 to 0.021) 0.007 0.009 (0.001 to 0.019) 0.06 (-0.010 *Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (n=441). *Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (n=441). *Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (n=441). *Primary analysis conducted in patients are available data (n=441). *Primary analysis conducted in patients randomised to the oxygen treatment arm (n=218). §Sensitivity analysis excludes patients with any adverse clinical event at discharge, including mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction, strok	1 AUC ₇₂ CK	0.013 (0.008 to 0.019)	<0.001	0.010 (0.005 to 0.015)	<0.001	0.008 (0.001 to 0.016)	0.04	0.008 (0.003 to 0.014)	0.005	0.007 (0.001 to 0.014)	0.03
Logarithm CMR infarct percent (%)** 0.012 (0.003 to 0.021) 0.007 0.009 (0.001 to 0.019) 0.06 0.005 (-0.010 *Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (n=441). #Model adjusted for the following covariates: age, gender, diabetes, smoker status, hypertension, culprit artery, Killip class, preintervention and symptom-to-intervention time. #Sensitivity analysis conducted in patients randomised to the oxygen treatment arm (n=218). §Sensitivity analysis excludes patients with any adverse clinical event at discharge, including mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction, strok	<pre>CMR infarct mass (g)**</pre>	0.014 (0.004 to 0.024)	0.005	0.012 (0.001 to 0.023)	0.03	0.010 (-0.006 to 0.027)	0.21	0.011 (-0.001 to 0.024)	0.08	0.005 (-0.011 to 0.021)	0.57
*Primary analysis includes all patients with available data (n=441). tModel adjusted for the following covariates: age, gender, diabetes, smoker status, hypertension, culprit artery, Killip class, preintervention and symptom-to-intervention time. Sensitivity analysis conducted in patients randomised to the oxygen treatment arm (n=218). Sensitivity analysis excludes patients with cardiogenic shock, recurrent myocardial infarction during admission, or desaturations (5p0 ₂ <94%) a Sensitivity analysis excludes patients with any advese clinical event at discharge, including mortality, recurrent myocardial infarction, strok	ראא infarct percent (%) **	0.012 (0.003 to 0.021)	0.007	0.009 (0.001 to 0.019)	0.06	0.005 (-0.010 to 0.020)	0.52	0.009 (-0.002 to 0.021)	0.11	0.003 (-0.011 to 0.017)	0.71
arthythmia (n=258). **suptoup with available data at 6 months (n=139). cv mostico unter available data at 6 months (n=139).	analysis includes all patients with adjusted for the following covariate +to-intervention time. Ity analysis conducted in patients r ity analysis excludes patients with ity analysis excludes all patients with analysis excludes all patients with ity analysis excludes all patients with analysis excludes all patients with ity analysis excludes all patients with analysis excludes all patients with ity analysis excludes all patients with analysis excludes all patients with analysis excludes all patients with analysis exclu	available data (n=441). s: age, gender, diabetes, sn andomised to the oxygen tr cardiogenic shock, recurrent tith any adverse clinical even is (n=139).	noker status, l eatment arm i myocardial i it at discharge	ypertension, culprit artery, H (n=218). Marction during admission, c 9. including mortality, recurre	villip class, pro	eintervention and postintervent is (\$p0 ₂ <94%) at any time (n I infarction, stroke or transient	ion thromboly =325). ischemic attac	sis in myocardial infarction (TI ik, cardiogenic shock, coronary	MI) flow, pro	cedural complication and s grafting, major bleeding anc	

shortly after reperfusion can promote tissue damage and arrhythmias.¹⁶ In lung epithelium, the formation of reactive oxygen species increases rapidly after exposure to hyperoxia, but returns to pretreatment levels within 30 min of oxygen cessation.¹⁷ The intensity of free radical production is highly attenuated by the fraction of inspired oxygen, with higher doses of oxygen being exponentially associated with the production of reactive oxygen species.¹⁷¹⁸ Studies have postulated that reactive oxygen species are responsible for the coronary vasoconstriction induced under hyperoxic conditions,¹⁹ which could be self-limiting after the normalisation of blood oxygen tension.²⁰ Hyperoxaemia is also associated with a number of cardiovascular responses including a reduction in coronary blood flow and myocardial oxygen consumption, and an increase in coronary vascular resistance.³ The relatively high oxygen saturations observed in the moderate to high oxygen exposure groups indicates that hyperoxaemia was present in a large proportion of patients in our study, and this may explain why these groups experienced greater myocardial injury after STEMI.

Although the AVOID trial was not powered to detect differences in adverse clinical outcomes, there may be some evidence that oxygen increases the frequency of arrhythmias and recurrent myocardial infarctions after STEMI.² Although the frequency of arrhythmias and recurrent myocardial infarctions was associated with increasing oxygen exposure in our study, it is plausible that this finding also represents residual confounding, where increasing levels of oxygen exposure reflect the increasing severity of illness. Conversely, many of the adverse outcomes observed in our study occurred outside the 12 h oxygen administration period, with almost 75% of recurrent myocardial infarctions occurring at least 2 days after admission. Although our models adjust for a wide range of potential confounders of myocardial injury, other factors such as individual clinical judgement including the degree of patient 'distress' is subjective and difficult to adjust for. Although our results were unaffected after the exclusion of patients with adverse clinical outcomes during admission (ie, the 'complicated' STEMIs), these sensitivity analyses reduce the sample size and widen the CIs of our estimates, and larger studies may be useful in corroborating our findings.

The results of our study should be interpreted in the context of the trial protocol. Unlike other clinical trials that assigned a standard dose of oxygen supplementation for up to 24 h after randomisation,^{1 8 10} the AVOID trial allocated oxygen dosing according to local hospital protocols.⁹ As a result, supplemental oxygen was highly heterogeneous and was infrequently administered to patients in high doses or durations, such as those recommended in other trial protocols (eg, 6 L/min over 12 h).¹⁰ In addition, the AVOID trial employed a relatively conservative threshold for hypoxaemia on pulse oximeter (SpO₂ < 94%). As a result, a large proportion of patients randomised to the air group received supplemental oxygen in the first 12 h (45.7%), most for low-range desaturations of 90%-93% on pulse oximeter. Comparing these findings with other trials is difficult because the frequency of cross-over between groups has not been reported.^{1 8} A 90% oxygen saturation threshold on pulse oximeter is currently being evaluated by a Swedish-based clinical trial,¹⁰ and this will help determine the safety and feasibility of further reducing the need for oxygen therapy in the treatment of patients with AMI.

Study limitations

Our study has several limitations. This was a descriptive analysis of data from a randomised controlled trial. Although the primary outcome was prespecified, our measure of oxygen Downloaded from http://heart.bmj.com/ on April 2, 2017 - Published by group.bmj.com



Figure 2 Effect of supplemental oxygen exposure on the predicted geometric mean peak troponin I (cTnI)/creatine kinase (CK) holding model covariates* at their mean value. Solid line represents the overall population, and the dotted line represents the population without cardiogenic shock, recurrent myocardial infarction or desaturation (SpO₂<94%) during admission.

exposure was defined a posteriori and therefore our analysis is exploratory in design. The study is also affected by limitations of the main trial, including a lack of blinding of oxygen treatment, missing biomarker data, the limited application of CMR scanning of infarct size and no central core laboratory for the assessment of biomarkers.² In our study, we excluded 8.2% of patients with no completed cTnI assays and 0.5% with no completed CK assays. Although we attempted to limit the possibility of selection bias, it is not clear whether our sensitivity analyses and covariate adjustments completely account for illness severity factors, which could confound our measures of myocardial injury. Our CMR scans were completed in 31.5% patients, and due to feasibility constraints we could not perform early CMR scans to consider other index measures of myocardial injury such as myocardial salvage and infarct size as a proportion of area at risk. In addition, we did not collect other measures of oxygen exposure such as arterial oxygen tension and the fraction of inspired oxygen and therefore it is not known how these variables would influence our results. Finally, the validity of supplemental oxygen exposure as a variable in our models relies on the accurate measurement of the dose and duration of oxygen therapy in the clinical setting, which may not be free from measurement error.

CONCLUSION

In this study, supplemental oxygen administered in the first 12 h after STEMI was associated with a dose-dependent increase in cTnI and CK release. Our findings suggest that a typical patient receiving supplemental oxygen exposure in the first 12 h after STEMI would experience an approximate 20% increase in myocardial infarct size. Although minimising the dose and duration of supplemental oxygen may help limit further myocardial injury after STEMI, further research is required to better elucidate the link between hyperoxia and myocardial injury, and determine optimal oxygen saturation targets during treatment.

Key messages

What is already known on this subject?

The use of routine oxygen therapy for uncomplicated ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is not recommended by current international treatment guidelines. Results released recently from the Air Versus Oxygen in myocarDial infarction (AVOID) randomised controlled trial suggest that routine supplemental oxygen is of no clinical benefit, and may be associated with increased myocardial injury after STEMI.

What might this study add?

The effect of the dose and duration of oxygen exposure on myocardial injury is not known in patients suffering STEMI. In this descriptive analysis of the AVOID trial data, every 100 L of supplemental oxygen administered in the first 12 h after STEMI was associated with a 1.4% and 1.2% increase in the mean peak troponin I (cTnI) and creatine kinase (CK), respectively. The treatment effect was maintained after the exclusion of complicated STEMI episodes, which could confound the treatment effect. In our study, the median supplemental oxygen exposure of 1746 L in the first 12 h would result in a 17%–21% increase in infarct size according to the CK and cTnI profiles.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

Minimising the dose and duration of oxygen administration could help limit further myocardial injury in patients with STEMI. Further research is required to determine the optimal blood oxygen saturation target in hypoxic patients with STEMI.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to all paramedics and hospital staff who contributed to the AVOID trial.

Collaborators See online supplementary appendix for a full list of AVOID investigators.

Contributors ZN, DS and KS conceived and designed the research. ZN, DS and KS acquired the data and performed the statistical analyses. KS, BB, DMK, ITM, JEB, MS, SB and PC handled funding and supervision. ZN and DS drafted the manuscript, and all authors made critical revisions for key intellectual content.

Funding This work was supported by the Alfred Foundation (Melbourne, Australia), FALCK Foundation (Wijnegem, Belgium) and Paramedics Australasia (Melbourne, Australia).

Competing interests DS and JEB are both supported by co-funded NHMRC/NHF fellowships (#1090302/100516) (#1069985/100136). KS, SB, PC, AHE, AJT, ITM and DMK are supported by National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia grants.

Ethics approval Alfred Health Human Research Ethics Committee.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Rawles JM, Kenmure AC. Controlled trial of oxygen in uncomplicated myocardial infarction. *BMJ* 1976;1:1121–3.
- 2 Stub D, Smith K, Bernard S, et al. Air versus oxygen in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2015;131:2143–50.
- 3 Farquhar H, Weatherall M, Wijesinghe M, *et al.* Systematic review of studies of the effect of hyperoxia on coronary blood flow. *Am Heart J* 2009;158:371–7.
- 4 Beasley R, Aldington S, Weatherall M, et al. Oxygen therapy in myocardial infarction: An historical perspective. J R Soc Med 2007;100:130–3.
- 5 McNulty PH, Robertson BJ, Tulli MA, et al. Effect of hyperoxia and vitamin C on coronary blood flow in patients with ischemic heart disease. J Appl Physiol 2007;102:2040–5.
- 6 Mak S, Azevedo ER, Liu PP, et al. Effect of hyperoxia on left ventricular function and filling pressures in patients with and without congestive heart failure. Chest 2001;120:467–73.
- 7 Kenmure AC, Murdoch WR, Beattie AD, et al. Circulatory and metabolic effects of oxygen in myocardial infarction. BMJ 1968;4:360–4.

- 8 Ranchord AM, Argyle R, Beynon R, et al. High-concentration versus titrated oxygen therapy in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Am Heart J 2012;163:168–75.
- 9 Stub D, Smith K, Bernard S, et al. A randomized controlled trial of oxygen therapy in acute myocardial infarction Air Verses Oxygen in myocarDial infarction study (AVOID Study). Am Heart J 2012;163: 339–45e1.
- 10 Hofmann R, James SK, Svensson L, et al. DETermination of the role of OXygen in suspected Acute Myocardial Infarction trial. Am Heart J 2014;167: 322–8.
- 11 Rubin DB, Schenker N. Multiple imputation in health-care databases: an overview and some applications. *Stat Med* 1991;10:585–98.
- 12 White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med* 2011;30:377–99.
- 13 Chia S, Senatore F, Raffel OC, et al. Utility of cardiac biomarkers in predicting infarct size, left ventricular function, and clinical outcome after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2008;1:415–23.
- 14 Stevens TP, Dylag A, Panthagani I, et al. Effect of cumulative oxygen exposure on respiratory symptoms during infancy among VLBW infants without bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2010;45:371–9.
- 15 Elmer J, Wang B, Melhem S, *et al.* Exposure to high concentrations of inspired oxygen does not worsen lung injury after cardiac arrest. *Crit Care* 2015; 19:105.
- 16 Zweier JL, Talukder MA. The role of oxidants and free radicals in reperfusion injury. Cardiovasc Res 2006;70:181–90.
- 17 Brueckl C, Kaestle S, Kerem A, et al. Hyperoxia-induced reactive oxygen species formation in pulmonary capillary endothelial cells in situ. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2006;34:453–63.
- 18 Mach WJ, Thimmesch AR, Pierce JT, *et al.* Consequences of hyperoxia and the toxicity of oxygen in the lung. *Nurs Res Pract* 2011;2011:260482.
- Moradkhan R, Sinoway LI. Revisiting the role of oxygen therapy in cardiac patients. JACC 2010;56:1013–6.
- 20 McNulty PH, King N, Scott S, et al. Effects of supplemental oxygen administration on coronary blood flow in patients undergoing cardiac catheterization. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2005;288:H1057–62.



Effect of supplemental oxygen exposure on myocardial injury in ST-elevation myocardial infarction

Ziad Nehme, Dion Stub, Stephen Bernard, Michael Stephenson, Janet E Bray, Peter Cameron, Ian T Meredith, Bill Barger, Andris H Ellims, Andrew J Taylor, David M Kaye and Karen Smith

Heart 2016 102: 444-451 originally published online January 6, 2016 doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308636

Updated information and services can be found at: http://heart.bmj.com/content/102/6/444

These include:

Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://heart.bmj.com/content/suppl/2016/01/06/heartjnl-2015-308636. DC1
References	This article cites 20 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at: http://heart.bmj.com/content/102/6/444#BIBL
Email alerting service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.
Торіс	Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Collections

Drugs: cardiovascular system (8842) Acute coronary syndromes (2742) Epidemiology (3752)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/