Will a partnership between parents and nurses find solutions to reduce the high rates of ambulatory sensitive hospitalisations in Pacific children? A community-based participatory research.
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Pacific people in NZ

The Pacific people ethnic group was the 4th largest ethnic group in NZ in the 2013 Census.

In 2013, 7.4% of the New Zealand population (295,941 people) identified with one or more Pacific ethnic groups, compared with 6.9 percent (265,974 people) in 2006.

- Samoan - 48.7% of the Pacific peoples population
- Cook Islands Maori - 20.9%
- Tongan - 20.4%
- Niuean - 8.1%
- Kiribati, Fiji, Tokelau & Tuvalu = 1.9%

Where Pacific people live
- Auckland - 65.9%
- Wellington - 12.2%
- South Island - 7.1%

Children 0-14 years median age and proportion for different ethnic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Median age</th>
<th>0-14 years in proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>22.1 years</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>41.0 years</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>23.9 years</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>30.6 years</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics New Zealand, 2013
There is no generic ‘Pacific community’ but rather Pacific peoples who align themselves variously, and at different times along ethnic, geographic, church, family, school, age/gender-based, youth/elders, island-born/NZ-born, occupational lines, or a mix of these. Therefore it is important that these various contexts of ‘Pacific communities’ are clearly defined and demarcated in the research process (Anae, Coxon, Mara, Wendt-Samu, & Finau, 2001, p. 7).
## Summary of positive and negative health indicators for Pacific children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negative health indicators 1998&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Negative health indicators 2008&lt;sup&gt;ii&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Positive health indicators 2008&lt;sup&gt;ii&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest incidence of acute rheumatic fever</td>
<td>Higher infant mortality rates</td>
<td>Declining infant mortality rates over recent decades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital admissions at a higher rate than other children</td>
<td>Poor oral health</td>
<td>Good birth weights distributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High incidence of vaccine-preventable disease</td>
<td>Higher levels of respiratory tract infections</td>
<td>Lower rates of both premature delivery &amp; intrauterine growth retardation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low uptake of primary care</td>
<td>Higher rates of hearing loss</td>
<td>Reduced rates of hearing loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High rates of pneumonia, acute respiratory infections, unintentional injuries &amp; acute rheumatic fever</td>
<td>Higher rates of infectious diseases</td>
<td>Lower risk of motor vehicle or other unintentional injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher rates of late foetal death than others</td>
<td>Low rates of physical activities</td>
<td>Increased rates of fruits &amp; vegetable consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence rates for the 1997 measles epidemic 10 times the rate of European children &amp; five times that of Māori children</td>
<td>High levels of obesity &amp; overweight</td>
<td>Early childhood participation increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest rate meningococcal disease for those one year of age</td>
<td>Food insecurity in many Pacific children</td>
<td>High enrolments with Primary Health Organisations (PHO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Hospital admissions for serious bacterial infections

All ambulatory sensitive hospitalisations 0-14 years, 2003-2006

Hospitalisations for conditions with a social gradient in 0-14 years old by ethnicity, New Zealand 2000-2014

NZ Dep and overcrowding

Figure 21. Proportion of Children and Young People 0-24 Years Living in a Crowded Household by Ethnicity and NZ Deprivation Index Decile, New Zealand Census 2001, 2006

Note: Only includes those where crowding status is known
Research Methodology
Qualitative and Quantitative using a Community-Based Participatory Research approach

Study Settings
Three District Health Boards (DHB) in New Zealand with high Pacific population.

Study Population
❖ Parents of Pacific children age 1mnth to 14years.
❖ 30 families.

CBPR Partnership - Advisory Group
❖ 9 Pacific nurses Auckland & Wellington
Definition

CBPR is a collaborative, partnership approach to research that equitably involves, for example, community members, organizational representatives, and researchers in all aspects of the research process. Partners contribute their expertise and share responsibilities and ownership to increase understanding of a given phenomenon, and incorporate the knowledge gained with action to enhance the health and well-being of community members (Israel et al., 2001, p. 184).

[CBPR] is a “collaborative approach to research that equitably involves all partners in the research process and recognizes the unique strengths that each brings. CBPR begins with a research topic of importance to the community and has the aim of combining knowledge with action and achieving social change to improve health outcomes and eliminate health disparities”. W.K. Kellogg Foundation Community Health Scholars Program.

These definitions emphasize the participation, influence and ownership/control by communities and non-academic researchers in the process of creating knowledge and change.
Community-based Participatory Research (CBPR)

Research - ON?

Research - IN?

Research - WITH?

The term Community-Based Participatory Research was coined by Israel and colleagues (2001) to illuminate the difference between ‘community-based research’ as a setting for conducting research and the participation of community members in the research process.

Critical difference between CBPR and Community-Based Research.
Purpose of CBPR

- The purpose of CBPR is “to increase knowledge and understanding of a given phenomenon and to integrate knowledge gained with interventions and policy changes to enhance quality of health and wellbeing within communities involved” (Israel et al., 2001, p. 184).
- It is particularly relevant when researching or exploring and addressing health inequalities among marginalized communities (Israel et al., 2001, 2005, 2012).

The CBPR approach

- Gives communities an opportunity to have a say on what influences their lives (Blumenthal, 2011).
- It helps shift academic researchers towards exploring more appropriate research approach to address the social determinants of health particularly on disadvantaged communities (Israel et al., 2012; Minkler, & Wallerstein, 2003).
Why CBPR

Increasing recognition of social, cultural and economic factors such as poor housing, lack of employment opportunities, racism, poor education achievement as main determinants of health calls for a more comprehensive, collaborative and inclusive approach to research.

The essence of CBPR

- Community Participation and Collaborative
- Community Benefit

CBPR principles are consistent with Pacific health research values
# CBPR Principles

1. Recognizes community as a unit of identity.

2. Builds on strengths and resources within the community.

3. Facilitates collaborative, equitable involvement of all partners in all phases of the research.

4. Integrates knowledge and action for mutual benefits of all partners.

5. Promotes a co-learning and empowering process that attends to social inequalities.

6. Involves cyclical and interactive process.

7. Addresses health from both positive and ecological perspectives.

8. Disseminate findings and knowledge gained to all partners.

9. Involves a long term commitment by all partners.

(Israel, et al, 2001)
Figure 1: Links between the four important cultural values and Pacific research.

Communal relationships

Pacific health research

Reciprocity

Holism

Health Research Council of New Zealand, 2014.
Benefits of CBPR

- Building and maintaining relationships
- High level of participation
- Community ownership
- Community commitment
- Funding favorable if community already involved

Challenges of CBPR

- Dilemmas in the sharing and release of findings
- Constraints on community involvement
- Lack of funding, how funds are contributed
- Mutual understanding and agreement: academic vs non-academics
- Time consuming, Building and maintaining relationships
The Pacific Child Health ASH CBPR Partnership

- 9 Pacific nurses Auckland & Wellington
- Pacific parents / groups
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